# Minnesota All Payer Claims Database Primary Diagnoses Public Use File: A User Guide

**NOVEMBER 2023** 

## **Table of Contents**

Minnesota All Payer Claims Database Primary Diagnoses Public Use File: A User Guide	1
Background	
Public Use File Overview	
Design of the Public Use File	. 2
Definition of Primary Diagnoses	
Data Elements	
Exclusions from the Public Use File	. 3
Other Important Data Considerations	4
Interpreting Public Use File Data	. 5
User Calculations	6
Appendix A: Public Use File Control Totals	. 7





## **Background**

The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) maintains the Minnesota All Payer Claims Database (MN APCD), a repository of health care claims data that supports statewide analyses of health care costs, quality, and utilization. Under legislative mandate, MDH releases publicly available summary information from the MN APCD in the form of public use files (PUFs). PUF data are delivered in spreadsheets with aggregated records that prevent the identification of individual members, providers, and health plans. As of November 2023, currently available MN APCD PUFs, derived from medical and pharmacy claims, contain summary data on health care services, health care utilization, primary diagnoses, provider specialties, members, and prescription drugs. This document introduces the Primary Diagnoses PUF, illustrates how to interpret PUF records, and includes technical instructions for users who wish to further aggregate PUF records.

#### **Public Use File Overview**

The Primary Diagnoses PUF was derived from MN APCD medical claims submitted by insurers for services rendered during the 2009 through 2021 calendar years. Each record in the PUF aggregates claims information by the first three digits of primary diagnosis code, payer type (commercial, Medicare, or Minnesota Health Care Programs) and an additional set of stratifying variables representing the member's age group, sex, and county of residence. The Primary Diagnoses PUF can be used to study variation of diagnoses across payer types and within or across combinations of the additional stratifying variables. Expenditure variables include the medical providers' collective charged amounts as well as the separate amounts paid by the insurer and member and their total for medical costs.

MDH developed this PUF in partnership with Onpoint Health Data and welcomes questions and feedback from users at: health.APCD@state.mn.us.

## **Design of the Public Use File**

## **Definition of Primary Diagnoses**

Diagnoses are reported in medical claims using International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes. Although multiple diagnoses can be reported on a single claim, only one diagnosis is the primary diagnosis. The primacy diagnosis is the principal condition for which the member required health care. In this PUF, primary diagnoses are limited to their first three digits. The first three digits of an ICD code represent the category of the diagnosis, which is the general type of injury or disease. For example, the full ICD code S52.122A, which is the diagnosis code for "Displaced fracture of head of left radius, initial encounter for closed fracture" would appear in the PUF under the 3-digit ICD code S52, which is the category for "Fracture of forearm".

The PUF includes descriptions of the 3-digit ICD codes as well as an ICD version indicator (i.e., whether the code is ICD-9 or ICD-10). The transition from ICD-9 to ICD-10 took place on October

1, 2015. The 2015 PUF data will contain both ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes, which can be distinguished using the ICD version indicator variable.

Counts of diagnoses and associated spending are based on data at the service line level associated with a given 3-digit ICD code. PUF data may significantly underestimate totals and average payments in instances where a claim service line is billed as part of a larger encounter.

#### **Data Elements**

In addition to stratification by 3-digit ICD code and payer type, PUF records are further stratified by:

- Member's age group
- Member's sex
- Member's county of residence

Five age groups (years) are identified in the PUF, based on member age at the time of the medical claim: (1) 18 and younger, (2) 19 to 44, (3) 45-64, (4) 65 to 74, and (5) 75 and older. Member sex (male or female) is similarly based on the information reported at the time of the medical claim. Member county of residence represents the county associated with a member's ZIP code using information reported on the member's eligibility data.

### **Exclusions from the Public Use File**

Medical claims submitted to the MN APCD include duplicate and denied claims as wells as other claims with various types of deficiencies that detract from their analytic usefulness. The Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) removes duplicate and denied claims as well as claims that fail a number of tests—including whether the claim was filed on behalf of an in-state resident and reported a positive total amount paid.

The Primary Diagnoses PUF excludes claims for non-Minnesota residents, orphaned claims (i.e., reversal claims that result in negative paid amounts), denied claims, claims with missing or invalid diagnosis codes, claims with a reported sex code of "U" (unknown), and those that are missing county information based on the member's reported ZIP code.

After claims were aggregated to produce a preliminary or "full" version of the PUF, records with counts <11 were redacted to prevent identification of individual members, providers, or payers. Starting with the total claims records available in the MN APCD, Table 1 and Table 2 summarize the data at each step of processing.

Table 1. Claims counts at each step of PUF processing.

		Unredacted	Redacted	Exclusion	Redaction
Year	MN APCD	PUF	PUF	%	%
2009	158,024,564	153,162,754	138,564,603	3.1%	3.1%
2010	166,339,561	161,900,222	146,492,430	2.7%	11.9%
2011	171,124,534	167,388,568	151,930,883	2.2%	11.2%
2012	176,598,226	175,178,991	159,386,415	0.8%	9.7%
2013	181,345,073	179,876,112	163,769,609	0.8%	9.7%
2014	192,539,371	190,783,879	174,073,685	0.9%	9.6%
2015	200,690,509	199,262,792	174,311,711	0.7%	13.1%
2016	177,281,323	176,587,022	155,000,005	0.4%	12.6%
2017	186,025,164	185,501,409	163,550,938	0.3%	12.1%
2018	207,863,034	207,397,715	182,323,913	0.2%	12.3%
2019	208,253,095	207,103,505	181,692,770	0.6%	12.8%
2020	189,539,241	188,972,812	164,502,317	0.3%	13.2%
2021	209,152,095	208,591,562	183,448,815	0.3%	12.3%

**Table 2.** Total paid amount at each step of PUF processing.

				Exclusion	Redaction
Year	MN APCD	Unredacted PUF	Redacted PUF	%	%
2009	\$21,432,831,791	\$20,736,787,130	\$17,771,879,337	3.2%	3.2%
2010	\$22,449,083,853	\$21,955,215,874	\$18,824,405,413	2.2%	16.1%
2011	\$23,569,709,545	\$23,143,113,604	\$19,927,050,660	1.8%	15.5%
2012	\$24,831,271,322	\$24,694,066,944	\$21,346,001,518	0.6%	14.0%
2013	\$25,758,097,024	\$25,611,892,769	\$22,123,752,346	0.6%	14.1%
2014	\$27,298,096,767	\$27,136,669,519	\$23,497,674,059	0.6%	13.9%
2015	\$28,602,361,877	\$28,380,862,927	\$23,144,645,114	0.8%	19.1%
2016	\$24,893,510,435	\$24,787,850,358	\$20,312,909,044	0.4%	18.4%
2017	\$26,009,165,210	\$25,917,937,371	\$21,393,116,282	0.4%	17.7%
2018	\$27,934,779,000	\$27,845,842,307	\$22,978,497,980	0.3%	17.7%
2019	\$28,033,852,637	\$27,864,988,329	\$23,006,696,421	0.6%	17.9%
2020	\$27,079,988,464	\$26,969,361,487	\$22,047,295,170	0.4%	18.6%
2021	\$29,713,779,630	\$29,587,405,662	\$24,384,170,120	0.4%	17.9%

## **Other Important Data Considerations**

The MN APCD includes medical claims for Medicare, Minnesota Health Care Programs, and most commercial plans. The MN APCD was not designed to include claims for health care

covered by Tricare, Veterans Affairs, the Indian Health Service, Workers' Compensation, or for care provided to Minnesotans without health insurance. It also does not include claims for services provided by plans that do not cover general medical care, such as accident-only, vision, or dental plans. In addition, data from certain low-volume carriers (less than \$3 million in medical claims or less than \$300,000 in pharmacy claims) are exempt from submission to the MN APCD. Lastly, it should be noted that claims data are only as accurate as the coding on submitted claims.

In a decision released on March 1, 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court upheld a lower court's ruling that self-insured health plans could not be required to submit claims data to a state's APCD (Gobeille v. Liberty Mutual Insurance Co.). The court found that requiring self-insured plans to submit medical and pharmacy claims was preempted by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). The decision does not prohibit the voluntary submission of self-insured plan data to the MN APCD. The effect of this decision was to substantially reduce the volume of commercial claims and enrollment that ERISA-subject self-insured plans reported to the MN APCD. The Primary Diagnoses PUF, because its claims data span 2016 and later, will include members whose commercial insurers stopped submitting data early in the year. As a result, the Primary Diagnoses PUF will tend to understate counts for the commercial coverage for the population it represents. Estimates of cost distributions within the commercially insured group —means and medians—should not be greatly affected. However, estimates of total counts and spending amounts for all Minnesotans will be affected as a result.

## **Interpreting Public Use File Data**

Table 3 includes two records from the Primary Diagnoses PUF for members with a principal diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes mellitus. Both records are for female members residing in Hennepin County who are covered by commercial payers in 2021, the only difference being age group. The first record shows that, among Minnesotans with commercial insurance that reported data to the MN APCD for 2019, there were 695 unique females aged 19–44 years in Hennepin County with a principal diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes mellitus. The total paid amount (sum of insurer and member payments) associated with these diagnoses was \$536,712.47. The second record shows that, in the MN APCD, there were 3,005 unique females aged 45–64 years in Hennepin County with commercial coverage and a principal diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes mellitus, resulting in a total paid amount of \$2,893,150.63.

Table 3. Sample records with a principal diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes.

. 45.6 5.	Sample rec	oras with a pri	neipai aia;	5110313	, o , pc 2 c	nabetes.		
					Principal	Principal		Count of
Service			Age		diagnosis	diagnosis	Total paid	Unique
year	County	Payer	group	Sex	code	description	sum	members
2021	Hennepin	Commercial	19 – 44	F	E11	Type 2	\$536,712.47	695
			years			diabetes		
2021	Hennepin	Commercial	45 – 64	F	E11	Type 2	\$2,893,150.63	3,005
			years			diabetes		

It should be noted that claims with non-primary diagnoses of Type 2 diabetes mellitus and a non-Type 2 diabetes mellitus principal diagnosis will not be included in these table rows. For example, if an individual had a myocardial infarction, the principal diagnosis for their associated claims would be the myocardial infarction, while the diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes mellitus would be deemed secondary. In this way, these records do not capture all costs for individuals with Type 2 diabetes mellitus.

### **User Calculations**

Using the same example from Table 3 (above), a sample calculation is illustrated in Table 4 (below). Suppose a user was interested in calculating a per member per month cost to compare cost by age group. For such a use case, the appropriate calculation would be to divide the total paid amount by the count of unique members times their months of coverage (member months). The comparison in per member per month cost in this example shows a higher cost for females aged 45–64 years (\$82.93) compared to females aged 19–44 years (\$68.43). This calculation is appropriate even considering the unreported data for some commercial members, with the assumption that spending for commercial enrollees with data in the MN APCD is similar to spending for commercial enrolles whose plans have not reported data to the MN APCD.

**Table 4.** Sample records with a principal diagnosis of Type 2 diabetes, with calculation.

								Total paid
Service			Age		Principal	Total paid	Member	per member
year	County	Payer	group	Sex	diagnosis	sum	months	per month
2021	Hennepin	Commercial	19 - 44	F	E11	\$536,712.47	7,843	\$68.43
			years					
2021	Hennepin	Commercial	45 - 64	F	E11	\$2,893,150.63	34,888	\$82.93
	•		years					

# **Appendix A: Public Use File Control Totals**

Table 5. Claim counts by payer type

	,, , ,,		Minnesota Health
Year	Commercial	Medicare	Care programs
2009	56,018,259	48,061,857	34,484,487
2010	56,173,295	51,587,872	38,731,263
2011	55,633,851	53,932,713	42,364,319
2012	56,567,982	56,524,211	46,294,222
2013	55,624,290	59,202,864	48,942,455
2014	55,479,800	62,653,628	55,940,257
2015	52,177,898	63,552,887	58,580,926
2016	31,469,961	67,833,887	55,696,157
2017	28,655,851	71,387,616	63,507,471
2018	29,939,848	74,950,399	77,433,666
2019	30,287,323	70,542,597	80,862,850
2020	27,254,905	63,277,708	73,969,704
2021	30,835,760	66,531,575	86,081,480

**Table 6.** Total paid amount by payer type

		N	
Year	Commercial	Medicare	Care programs
2009	\$8,051,278,945	\$5,578,783,781	\$4,141,816,611
2010	\$8,375,136,158	\$6,036,645,524	\$4,412,623,730
2011	\$8,748,808,069	\$6,446,971,993	\$4,731,270,598
2012	\$9,446,975,727	\$6,779,789,205	\$5,119,236,586
2013	\$9,586,313,095	\$7,107,015,127	\$5,430,424,124
2014	\$9,925,403,099	\$7,548,758,891	\$6,023,512,069
2015	\$9,353,373,956	\$7,615,981,355	\$6,175,289,802
2016	\$5,810,085,007	\$8,327,883,994	\$6,174,940,043
2017	\$5,415,643,451	\$8,997,943,358	\$6,979,529,474
2018	\$5,844,708,211	\$9,670,039,758	\$7,463,750,012
2019	\$5,645,777,824	\$9,484,561,327	\$7,876,357,269
2020	\$5,163,310,564	\$8,983,282,303	\$7,900,702,303
2021	\$5,774,882,201	\$9,319,684,767	\$9,289,603,152

Minnesota Department of Health Health Economics Program PO Box 64882 St. Paul MN 55164-0882 (651) 201-4520 www.health.state.mn.us/healtheconomics





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> At this time, all PUFs are available free of charge to the user community. PUFs may be downloaded online by completing a survey form: <a href="https://survey.vovici.com/se/56206EE333F13F0F">https://survey.vovici.com/se/56206EE333F13F0F</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note, however, that coverage by self-insured plans will be underrepresented among coverage by all commercial plans. To the extent that the medical expenditures incurred by participants in self-insured plans are different from those of participants in other commercial plans, the expenditure data reported for participants in commercial plans will provide biased estimates of the expenditures of participants in all commercial plans.